Competency	Course Average
Learning	4.59
Enthusiasm	4.70
Organization	4.70
Group Interaction	4.80
Individual Rapport	4.75
Breadth	4.70
Assessment & Evaluation	4.65
Assignments	4.60
Overall	4.70

Learning

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
I found this course intellectually challenging and stimulating.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
I learned something that I consider valuable.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	4.75
My interest in the subject increased as a consequence of this course.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
I learned and understood the subject materials of this course.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	4.40

Enthusiasm

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this course.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor was dynamic and energetic in conducting the course.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor enhanced presentations with use of humor.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Instructor's style of presentation held my interest during course.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60

Organization

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Instructor's explanations were clear.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor's materials were well prepared and carefully explained.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where the course was going.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Instructor's presentation facilitated my organization of content.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60

Group Interaction

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Students were encouraged to participate in course discussions.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Students were invited to share their ideas and knowledge.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Students were encouraged to ask questions and were given meaningful answers.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Students were encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80

Individual Rapport

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Instructor was friendly towards individual students.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Instructor made students feel welcome in seeking help/advice.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor had a genuine interest in individual students.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor was adequately accessible to students.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80

Breadth

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Instructor contrasted the implications of various theories.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	1	20.00%	3	60.00%	4.40
Instructor presented the background or origin of ideas/concepts developed.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor presented points of view other than his/her own when appropriate.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Instructor adequately discussed current developments in the field.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80

Assessment & Evaluation

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Feedback on examinations/graded material was valuable.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80
Feedback on examinations/graded material was timely.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Methods of evaluating student work were fair and appropriate.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Examinations/graded materials tested course content as emphasized by the instructor.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60

Assignments

	(1)	Strongly Disagree	(2)	Disagree	(3)	Neutral	(4)	Agree	(5)	Strongly Agree	Mean
Required reading/texts were valuable.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Readings, homework, laboratories contributed to appreciation and understanding of the subject.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60

Overall

	(1)	Very Poor	(2)	Poor	(3)	Average	(4)	Good	(5)	Very Good	Mean
Compared with other courses I have taken at UNO, this course is:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	4.60
Compared with other instructors I have had at UNO, this instructor is:	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	4.80

Course Workload / Difficulty

Course pace was:

1:	Too Slow	2:	Slow	3:	About Right	4:	Fast	5:	Too Fast	Mean
0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.00

Hours per week required for the course:

1:	0 to 2 hrs	2:	3 to 4 hrs	3:	5 to 7 hrs	4:	8 to 10 hrs	5:	> 10 hrs	Mean
0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2.00

Course difficulty, relative to other courses was:

1:	Very Easy	2:	Easy	3:	Average	4:	Difficult	5:	Very Difficult	Mean
0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	3.00

Course workload, relative to other courses was:

1:	Very Light	2:	Light	3:	Average	4:	Heavy	5:	Very Heavy	Mean
0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2.60

Student Data

Credit hours completed prior to this semester

1:	Freshman (<26)	2:	Sophomore (26-57)	3:	Junior (58-90)	4:	Senior (90+)	5:	Graduate
1	20.00%	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Expected grade in the course:

1:	F	2:	D	3:	C- to C+	4:	B- to B +	5:	A- to A+
0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5	100.00%

Overall GPA at UNO (leave blank if not established)

1:	< 1.7 GPA	2:	1.7 to 2.5 GPA	3:	2.51 to 3.3 GPA	4:	3.31 to 4.0 GPA
0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	3	60.00%

This course for me was:

1:	Required	2:	Elective
4	80.00%	1	20.00%

Level of interest in this subject prior to this course:

1:	Very Low	2:	Low	3:	Medium	4:	High	5:	Very High
0	0.00%	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Which characteristics of this instructor or course have been most valuable to your learning experience?

Comments
Kelley was on of the best instructors I have had at UNO! She not only taught the material in a way that helped it connect to the students, but she went out of her way to make sure everything was clear! She came off very approachable and was super easy to reach and communicate with. I thought this class might not be super relatable to today, but I learned a lot of valuable information related to my major and everyday life!
I like how she made us interact with each other because it made me think more in depth
Dr* Kelley, hands down was one of the most down to earth professors I've ever had – she made sure each and every one of us had what we needed to succeed in her class! It was times I had to pull away and she still made sure I was equipped to stay on track.
The instructor answering questions or emails in a very timely manner and willing to discuss questions more in depth outside of class.

Which characteristics of this instructor or course are most important for him/her to improve upon (particularly aspects not covered by the rating items)?

Comments
I this class had a perfect set up! I can't think of anything that needs to be improved and I wish the class was longer!
None
None.
N/A